

Stanovenie intenzity vysokofrekvenčných polí, hustoty výkonu a špecifickej miery absorpcie (SAR) v okolí rádiokomunikačných základňových staníc na účely hodnotenia expozície osôb

STN EN 62232

36 7087

Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure

Táto norma obsahuje anglickú verziu európskej normy. This standard includes the English version of the European Standard.

Táto norma bola oznámená vo Vestníku ÚNMS SR č. 03/18

Obsahuje: EN 62232:2017, IEC 62232:2017

Oznámením tejto normy sa od 27.09.2020 ruší STN EN 50383 (36 7087) z januára 2011

STN EN 50400 (36 7080) z januára 2007

STN EN 50492 (36 7945) z augusta 2009

126467

STN EN 62232: 2018

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM EN 62232

December 2017

ICS 13.280; 17.240

Supersedes EN 50383:2010, EN 50400:2006, EN 50492:2008

English Version

Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure (IEC 62232:2017)

Détermination des champs de radiofréquences, densité de puissance et du DAS aux environs des stations de base utilisées pour les communications radio dans le but d'évaluer l'exposition humaine (IEC 62232:2017)

Bestimmung der HF-Feldstärke, der Leistungsdichte und der spezifischen Absorptionsrate (SAR) in der Nachbarschaft von Funkkommunikations-Basisstationen zur Ermittlung der menschlichen Exposition (IEC 62232:2017)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2017-09-27. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

EN 62232:2017

European foreword

The text of document 106/397/FDIS, future edition 2 of IEC 62232, prepared by IEC/TC 106 "Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields associated with human exposure" was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and approved by CENELEC as EN 62232:2017.

The following dates are fixed:

document have to be withdrawn

•	latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement	(dop)	2018-06-27
•	latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the	(dow)	2020-09-27

This document supersedes EN 50400:2006 + AC:2011 + A1:2012, EN 50383:2010 + AC:2013 and EN 50492:2008 + A1:2014.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 62232:2017 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standards indicated:

ISO/IEC 17025	NOTE	Harmonized as EN ISO/IEC 17025.
CISPR 16-4-2	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 55016-4-2.

EN 62232:2017

Annex ZA

(normative)

Normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE 1 Where an International Publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.

NOTE 2 Up-to-date information on the latest versions of the European Standards listed in this annex is available here: www.cenelec.eu.

<u>Publication</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Title</u>	EN/HD	<u>Year</u>
IEC 62209-1	-	Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)		-
IEC 62209-2	-	Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)		-
IEC 62479	-	Assessment of the compliance of low power electronic and electrical equipment with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (10 MHz to 300 GHz)	EN 62479	-
IEC 62311	-	Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (0 Hz - 300 GHz)	EN 62311	-




IEC 62232

Edition 2.0 2017-08

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure





THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED Copyright © 2017 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11 3, rue de Varembé Fax: +41 22 919 03 00

CH-1211 Geneva 20 info@iec.ch Switzerland www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigenda or an amendment might have been published.

IEC Catalogue - webstore.iec.ch/catalogue

The stand-alone application for consulting the entire bibliographical information on IEC International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports and other documents. Available for PC, Mac OS, Android Tablets and iPad.

IEC publications search - www.iec.ch/searchpub

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and also once a month by email.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary of electronic and electrical terms containing 20 000 terms and definitions in English and French, with equivalent terms in 16 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary

65 000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and Definitions clause of IEC publications issued since 2002. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: csc@iec.ch.



IEC 62232

Edition 2.0 2017-08

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 13.280; 17.240 ISBN 978-2-8322-4635-1

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

– 2 –

CONTENTS

FC	DREWO	PRD	12
IN	TRODU	JCTION	14
1	Scop	ıe	15
2	Norm	native references	15
3	Term	is and definitions	16
4	Svml	ools and abbreviated terms	22
	4.1	Physical quantities	
	4.2	Constants	
	4.3	Abbreviated terms	
5	Quic	k start guide and how to use this document	
	5.1	Overview	
	5.2	Quick start guide	
	5.3	How to use this document	
	5.4	Worked case studies	27
6		uation processes for product compliance, product installation compliance and u RF exposure assessments	27
	6.1	Evaluation process for product compliance	27
	6.1.1	General	27
	6.1.2	Establishing compliance boundaries	27
	6.1.3	Iso-surface compliance boundary definition	28
	6.1.4	Simple compliance boundaries	28
	6.1.5	,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	6.1.6	,	
	6.1.7	1 3	
	6.2	Evaluation process used for product installation compliance	
	6.2.1		
	6.2.2	'	
	6.2.3		
	6.2.4	empines product motionation or a same process minimum.	
	6.2.5		
	6.2.6		
	6.2.7		
	6.2.8 6.2.9	,	
	6.3	Evaluation processes for in-situ RF exposure assessment	
	6.3.1	·	
	6.3.2		
	6.3.3	•	
	6.3.4	·	
	6.4	Averaging procedures	
	6.4.1	5 5.	
	6.4.2		
7	Dete	rmining the evaluation method	
	7.1	Overview	46
	7.2	Process to determine the evaluation method	
	7.2.1	General	46

	7.2.2	Establishing the evaluation points in relation to the source-environment plane	47
	7.2.3		
8	Evalı	uation methods	
	8.1	Overview	
	8.2	Measurement methods	
	8.2.1		
	8.2.2		
	8.2.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	8.3	Computation methods	52
9	Unce	ertainty	53
10	Repo	orting	54
	10.1	General requirements	
	10.2	Report format	
	10.3	Opinions and interpretations	
An		(informative) Source environment plane and guidance on the evaluation	
me	thod s	election	56
	A.1	Guidance on the source-environment plane	56
	A.1.1	1 General	56
	A.1.2	Source-environment plane example	56
	A.1.3	3 Source regions	57
	A.2	Select between computation or measurement approaches	
	A.3	Select measurement method	64
	A.3.1	1 Selection stages	64
	A.3.2	3	
	A.3.3	1 ,	
	A.3.4		
	A.4	Select computation method	
	A.5	Additional considerations	
	A.5.1		
	A.5.2		
Δ	A.5.3	1175	
		(normative) Evaluation methods	
	B.1	Overview	
	B.2	Evaluation parameters	
	B.2.1		
	B.2.2	•	
	B.2.3	•	
	B.2.4 B.3	4 Variables Measurement methods	
	в.з В.3.1		
	B.3.2		
	B.4	Computation methods	
	B.4.1	·	
	B.4.2	·	
	B.4.3		
	B.4.4		
	B.5	Extrapolation from the evaluated <i>SAR</i> / RF field strength to the required	20
	-	assessment condition	150

B.5.1	Extrapolation method	150
B.5.2	Extrapolation to maximum RF field strength using broadband measurements	151
B.5.3	Extrapolation to maximum RF field strength for frequency and code selective measurements	151
B.5.4	Influence of traffic in real operating network	152
B.6 Sum	nmation of multiple RF fields	152
B.6.1	Applicability	152
B.6.2	Uncorrelated fields	153
B.6.3	Correlated fields	153
B.6.4	Ambient fields	153
Annex C (infor	mative) Rationale supporting simplified product installation criteria	154
C.1 Gen	eral	154
C.2 Clas	ss E2	154
C.3 Clas	ss E10	155
C.4 Clas	ss E100	155
C.5 Clas	ss E+	157
	mative) Guidance on comparing evaluated parameters with a limit	159
D.1 Ove	rview	159
	rmation required to compare evaluated value against limit value	
	forming a limit comparison at a given confidence level	
	forming a limit comparison using a process based assessment scheme	
	mative) Uncertainty	
E.1 Bac	kground	161
	uirement to estimate uncertainty	
	to estimate uncertainty	
	dance on uncertainty and assessment schemes	
E.4.1	General	162
E.4.2	Overview of assessment schemes	162
E.4.3	Examples of assessment schemes	
E.4.4	Assessment schemes and compliance probabilities	166
E.5 Guid	dance on uncertainty	
E.5.1	Overview	168
E.5.2	Measurement uncertainty and confidence levels	169
E.6 App	lying uncertainty for compliance assessments	170
E.7 Exa	mple influence quantities for field measurements	170
E.7.1	General	170
E.7.2	Calibration uncertainty of measurement antenna or field probe	171
E.7.3	Frequency response of the measurement antenna or field probe	171
E.7.4	Isotropy of the measurement antenna or field probe	173
E.7.5	Frequency response of the spectrum analyser	
E.7.6	Temperature response of a broadband field probe	
E.7.7	Linearity deviation of a broadband field probe	
E.7.8	Mismatch uncertainty	
E.7.9	Deviation of the experimental source from numerical source	
E.7.10	Meter fluctuation uncertainty for time varying signals	
E.7.11	Uncertainty due to power variation in the RF source	
E.7.12	Uncertainty due to field gradients	174

E.7.13

Mutual coupling between measurement antenna or isotropic probe and

	object	176
E.7.1	4 Uncertainty due to field scattering from the surveyor's body	177
E.7.1	5 Measurement device	178
E.7.1	6 Fields out of measurement range	178
E.7.1	7 Noise	179
E.7.1	8 Integration time	179
E.7.1	9 Power chain	179
E.7.2	Positioning system	179
E.7.2	21 Matching between probe and the EUT	179
E.7.2	22 Drifts in output power of the EUT, probe, temperature, and humidity	179
E.7.2	Perturbation by the environment	179
E.8	Example influence quantities for RF field strength computations by ray	
	tracing or full wave methods	180
E.8.1	General	180
E.8.2	System	180
E.8.3	B Technique uncertainties	181
E.8.4	Environmental uncertainties	181
E.9	Influence quantities for SAR measurements	
E.9.1	General	182
E.9.2	Post-processing	182
E.9.3	B Device holder	182
E.9.4	Test sample positioning	183
E.9.5	Phantom shell uncertainty	184
E.9.6	SAR correction / target liquid permittivity and conductivity	184
E.9.7		
E.9.8	B Liquid temperature	185
E.10	Influence quantities for SAR calculations	185
E.11	Spatial averaging	185
E.11	.1 General	185
E.11	.2 Small-scale fading variations	186
E.11	.3 Error on the estimation of local average power density	186
E.11	.4 Error on the estimation of local average power density	187
E.11	.5 Characterization of environment statistical properties	187
E.11	.6 Characterization of different averaging schemes	188
E.12	Influence of human body on probe measurements of the electrical field strength	192
E.12	, ,	
	based on the Method of Moments (Surface Equivalence Principle)	
E.12	•	
E.12		
Annex F (informative) Technology-specific guidance	195
F.1	Overview to guidance on specific technologies	195
F.2	Summary of technology-specific information	195
F.3	Guidance on spectrum analyser settings	199
F.3.1	Overview of spectrum analyser settings	199
F.3.2	Detection algorithms	199
F.3.3	Resolution bandwidth and channel power processing	200
F.3.4	Integration per service	202
F.4	Constant power components	203

F.4.1	TDMA/FDMA technology	203
F.4.2	WCDMA/UMTS technology	
F.4.3	OFDM technology	
F.5 W	CDMA measurement and calibration using a code domain analyser	
F.5.1	WCDMA measurements – General	
F.5.2	Requirements for the code domain analyser	204
F.5.3	Calibration	
F.6 W	i-Fi measurements	
F.6.1	General	207
F.6.2	Integration time for reproducible measurements	207
F.6.3	Channel occupation	208
F.6.4	Some considerations	208
F.6.5	Scalability by channel occupation	209
F.6.6	Influence of the application layers	
F.7 LT	E measurements for Frequency Division Duplexing (FDD)	
F.7.1	Overview	
F.7.2	Maximum LTE exposure evaluation	210
F.7.3	Instantaneous LTE exposure evaluation	213
F.7.4	MIMO multiplexing of LTE base station	213
F.8 LT	E measurements for Time Division Duplexing (TDD)	
F.8.1	General	214
F.8.2	Definitions and transmission modes	214
F.8.3	TDD frame structure	215
F.8.4	Maximum LTE exposure evaluation	217
	tablishing compliance boundaries using numerical simulations of MIMO ray antennas emitting correlated wave-forms	220
F.9.1	General	220
F.9.2	Field combining near radio base stations for correlated exposure with the purpose of establishing compliance boundaries	221
F.9.3	Numerical simulations of MIMO array antennas with densely packed	
4	columns	
F.9.4	Numerical simulations of large MIMO array antennas	
	nart antennas	
F.10.1	Overview	
F.10.2	Deterministic conservative approach	
F.10.3	Statistical conservative approach	
F.10.4	Example approaches	
F.10.5	Smart antenna (TD-LTE)	
F.11 Es F.11.1	tablishing compliance boundary for systems using dish antennas General	
	Overview	
F.11.2 F.11.3		
	Compliance boundary of a dish antenna	
ыынодгарпу		230
Figure 1 – Q	uick start guide to the evaluation process	25
Figure 2 – Ex	xample of complex compliance boundary	28
	xample of circular cylindrical compliance boundaries	
•	kample of box shaped compliance boundary	
•	kample of truncated box shaped compliance boundary	
i iguie J – E	rampio oi truncateu box shapeu comphance boulluary	∠9

Figure 6 – Example of dish antenna compliance boundary (from [11])	30
Figure 7 – Example illustrating the linear scaling procedure	31
Figure 8 - Flowchart describing the product installation evaluation process	34
Figure 9 – Square-shaped assessment domain boundary (ADB) with size $D_{\mbox{ad}}$	39
Figure 10 – Alternative routes to evaluate in-situ RF exposure	43
Figure 11 – Source-environment plane concept	48
Figure 12 – Flow chart of the measurement methods	50
Figure 13 – Flow chart of the relevant computation methods	52
Figure A.1 – Example source-environment plane regions near a radio base station antenna on a tower which has a narrow vertical (elevation plane) beamwidth (not to scale)	56
Figure A.2 – Example source-environment plane regions near a roof-top antenna which has a narrow vertical (elevation plane) beamwidth (not to scale)	57
Figure A.3 – Geometry of an antenna with largest linear dimension $L_{\mbox{eff}}$ and largest end dimension $L_{\mbox{end}}$	58
Figure A.4 – Maximum path difference for an antenna with largest linear dimension ${\it L}$	62
Figure B.1 – Cylindrical, cartesian and spherical coordinates relative to the RBS antenna	70
Figure B.2 – Evaluation locations	81
Figure B.3 – Relationship of separation of remote radio source and evaluation area to separation of evaluation points	82
Figure B.4 – Outline of the surface scanning methodology	84
Figure B.5 – Block diagram of the near-field antenna measurement system	85
Figure B.6 – Minimum radius constraint where a denotes the minimum radius of a sphere, centred at the reference point, that will encompass the EUT	86
Figure B.7 – Maximum angular sampling spacing constraint	86
Figure B.8 – Outline of the volume/surface scanning methodology	90
Figure B.9 – Block diagram of typical near-field EUT measurement system	91
Figure B.10 – Spatial averaging schemes relative to foot support level and in the vertical plane oriented to offer maximum area in the direction of the source being evaluated	97
Figure B.11 – Spatial averaging relative to spatial-peak field strength point height	
Figure B.12 – Positioning of the EUT relative to the relevant phantom	
Figure B.13 – Phantom liquid volume and measurement volume used for whole-body <i>SAR</i> measurements with the box-shaped phantoms	
Figure B.14 – Reflection due to the presence of a ground plane	.116
Figure B.15 – Enclosed cylinder around collinear arrays, with and without electrical downtilt	
Figure B.16 – Leaky feeder geometry	.118
Figure B.17 – Directions for which SAR estimation expressions are given	.119
Figure B.18 – Reference frame employed for cylindrical formulas for field strength computation at a point P (left), and on a line perpendicular to boresight (right)	.124
Figure B.19 – Views illustrating the three valid zones for field strength computation around an antenna	. 125
Figure B.20 – Cylindrical formulas reference results	. 128
Figure B.21 – Spherical formulas reference results	. 129
Figure B.22 – Synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms geometry and parameters	.131

Figure B.23 – Line 4 far-field positions for synthetic model and ray tracing validation example	134
Figure B.24 – Antenna parameters for synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms validation example	135
Figure B.25 – Generic 900 MHz RBS antenna with nine dipole radiators	142
Figure B.26 – Line 1, 2 and 3 near-field positions for full wave and ray tracing validation	142
Figure B.27 – Generic 1 800 MHz RBS antenna with five slot radiators	143
Figure B.28 – RBS antenna placed in front of a multi-layered lossy cylinder	149
Figure B.29 – Time variation over 24 h of the exposure induced by GSM 1800 MHz (left) and FM (right) both normalized to mean	152
Figure C.1 – Measured ER as a function of distance for a low power BS (G = 5 dBi, f = 2 100 MHz) transmitting with an $EIRP$ of 2 W (class E2) and 10 W (class E10)	154
Figure C.2 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to class E10	155
Figure C.3 – Compliance distance in the main lobe as a function of <i>EIRP</i> established according to the far-field formula corresponding to class E100	156
Figure C.4 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to class E100	156
Figure C.5 – Averaged power density at ground level for various installation configurations of equipment with 100 W <i>EIRP</i> (class E100)	157
Figure C.6 – Compliance distance in the main lobe as a function of $EIRP$ established according to the far-field formula corresponding to class $E+$	158
Figure C.7 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to class E+	158
Figure E.1 – Examples of general assessment schemes	164
Figure E.2 – Target uncertainty scheme overview	165
Figure E.3 – Probability of the true value being above (respectively below) the evaluated value depending on the confidence level assuming a normal distribution	169
Figure E.4 – Plot of the calibration factors for E (not E^2) provided from an example calibration report for an electric field probe	172
Figure E.5 – Computational model used for the variational analysis of reflected RF fields from the front of a surveyor	177
Figure E.6 – Positioning device and different positioning errors	183
Figure E.7 – Physical model of Rayleigh (a) and Rice (b) small-scale fading variations	185
Figure E.8 – Example of $\it E$ field strength variations in line of sight of an antenna operating at 2,2 GHz	186
Figure E.9 – Error at 95% on average power estimation	187
Figure E.10 – 343 measurement positions building a cube (centre) and different templates consisting of a different number of positions	188
Figure E.11 – Moving a template (Line 3) through the CUBE	189
Figure E.12 – Standard deviations for GSM 900, DCS 1800 and UMTS	191
Figure E.13 – Simulation arrangement	193
Figure E.14 – Body influence	193
Figure E.15 – Simulation arrangement	194
Figure F.1 – Spectral occupancy for GMSK	
Figure F.2 – Spectral occupancy for CDMA	
Figure F.3 – Channel allocation for a WCDMA signal	204

Figure F.4 – Example of Wi-Fi frames	207
Figure F.5 – Channel occupation versus the integration time for IEEE 802.11b standard	208
Figure F.6 – Channel occupation versus nominal throughput rate for IEEE 802.11b/g standards	208
Figure F.7 – Wi-Fi spectrum trace snapshot	209
Figure F.8 – Frame structure of transmission signal for LTE downlink	210
Figure F.9 – Examples of received waves from LTE downlink signals using a spectrum analyser using zero span mode	213
Figure F.10 – Frame structure type 2 (for 5 ms switch-point periodicity)	216
Figure F.11 – Frame structure of transmission signal for TDD LTE	216
Figure F.12 – PBCH measurement example	218
Figure F.13 – PBCH measurement example spectrum analyser using zero span mode	220
Figure F.14 – MIMO array antenna with densely packed columns	221
Figure F.15 – Plan view representation of statistical conservative model	224
Figure F.16 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 24$, $PR = 0,125$	232
Figure F.17 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 18$, $PR = 2/7$	233
Figure F.18 – Flowchart for the assessment of EMF compliance boundary in the line of sight of dish antennas (from [11])	235
Table 1 – Quick start guide evaluation steps	26
Table 2 – Example of product installation classes where a simplified evaluation process is applicable (based on ICNIRP general public limits [13])	36
Table 3 – Exposure metrics validity for evaluation points in each source region	49
Table 4 – Requirements for RF field strength measurements	51
Table 5 – Whole-body SAR exclusions based on RF power levels	51
Table 6 – Requirements for SAR measurements.	51
Table 7 – Applicability of computation methods for source-environment regions of Figure 10	53
Table 8 – Requirements for computation methods	53
Table A.1 – Definition of source regions	59
Table A.2 – Default source region boundaries	59
Table A.3 – Source region boundaries for antennas with maximum dimension less than 2,5 λ	60
Table A.4 – Source region boundaries for linear/planar antenna arrays with a maximum dimension greater than or equal to 2,5 λ	60
Table A.5 – Source region boundaries for equiphase radiation aperture (e.g. dish) antennas with maximum reflector dimension much greater than a wavelength	
Table A.6 – Source region boundaries for leaky feeders	61
Table A.7 – Far-field distance r measured in metres as a function of angle β	63
Table A.8 – Guidance on selecting between computation and measurement approaches	64
Table A.9 – Guidance on selecting between broadband and frequency-selective measurement	65
Table A.10 – Guidance on selecting RF field strength measurement procedures	66
Table A.11 – Guidance on selecting computation methods	67

Table A.12 – Guidance on specific evaluation method ranking	68
Table B.1 – Dimension variables	70
Table B.2 – RF power variables	71
Table B.3 – Antenna variables	72
Table B.4 – Exposure metric variables	73
Table B.5 – Broadband measurement system requirements	75
Table B.6 – Frequency-selective measurement system requirements	76
Table B.7 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of an in-situ RF field strength measurement that used a frequency-selective instrument	. 100
Table B.8 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of an in-situ RF field strength measurement that used a broadband instrument	. 101
Table B.9 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a laboratory-based RF field strength measurement using the surface scanning method	. 102
Table B.10 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a laboratory-based RF field strength measurement using the volume scanning method	. 103
Table B.11 – Numerical reference <i>SAR</i> values for reference dipoles and flat phantom – All values are normalized to a forward power of 1 W	. 108
Table B.12 – Phantom liquid volume and measurement volume used for whole-body SAR measurements [35], [29]	. 111
Table B.13 – Correction factor to compensate for a possible bias in the obtained general public whole-body SAR when assessed using the large box-shaped phantom for child exposure configurations [36]	. 111
Table B.14 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation template for EUT whole-body $\it SAR$ test .	.112
Table B.15 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation template for whole-body <i>SAR</i> system validation	. 113
Table B.16 – Applicability of SAR estimation formulas	.120
Table B.17 – Definition of $C(f)$.121
Table B.18 – Input parameters for SAR estimation formulas validation	. 123
Table B.19 – SAR_{10g} and SAR_{Wb} estimation formula reference results for Table B.18 parameters and a body mass of 46 kg	. 123
Table B.20 – Definition of boundaries for selecting the zone of computation	.126
Table B.21 – Input parameters for cylinder and spherical formulas validation	.128
Table B.22 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a synthetic model and ray tracing RF field strength computation	. 133
Table B.23 – Synthetic model and ray tracing power density reference results	.136
Table B.24 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a full wave RF field strength computation	. 140
Table B.25 – Validation 1 full wave field reference results	. 143
Table B.26 – Validation 2 full wave field reference results	. 144
Table B.27 – Sample template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a full wave SAR computation	. 147
Table B.28 – Validation reference SAR results for computation method	
Table E.1 – Determining target uncertainty	. 165
Table E.2 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials, both surveyor and auditor using best estimate	. 167
Table E.3 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials, both surveyor and auditor using target uncertainty of 4 dB	. 167

Table E.4 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials surveyor uses upper 95 % CI vs. auditor uses lower 95 % CI	168
Table E.5 – Guidance on minimum separation distances for some dipole lengths to ensure that the uncertainty does not exceed 5 % or 10 % in a measurement of E	175
Table E.6 – Guidance on minimum separation distances for some loop diameters to ensure that the uncertainty does not exceed 5 % or 10 % in a measurement of H	176
Table E.7 – Example minimum separation conditions for selected dipole lengths for 10 % uncertainty in E	176
Table E.8 – Standard estimates of dB variation for the perturbations in front of a surveyor due to body reflected fields as described in Figure E.5	178
Table E.9 – Standard uncertainty (u) estimates for E and H due to body reflections from the surveyor for common radio services derived from estimates provided in Table E.8	178
Table E.10 – Maximum sensitivity coefficients for liquid permittivity and conductivity over the frequency range 300 MHz to 6 GHz	185
Table E.11 – Uncertainty at 95 % for different fading models	
Table E.12 – Correlation coefficients for GSM 900 and DCS 1800	190
Table E.13 – Variations of the standard deviations for the GSM 900, DCS 1800 and UMTS frequency band	191
Table E.14 – Examples of total uncertainty calculation	192
Table E.15 – Maximum simulated error due to the influence of a human body on the measurement values of an omni-directional probe	194
Table E.16 – Measured influence of a human body on omni-directional probe measurements	194
Table F.1 – Technology specific information	
Table F.2 – Example of spectrum analyser settings for an integration per service	
Table F.3 – Example constant power components for specific TDMA/FDMA technologies	203
Table F.4 – WCDMA decoder requirements	
Table F.5 – Signal configurations	
Table F.6 – WCDMA generator setting for power linearity	
Table F.7 – WCDMA generator setting for decoder calibration	
Table F.8 – WCDMA generator setting for reflection coefficient measurement	
Table F.9 – Theoretical extrapolation factor, <i>N</i> _{RS} , based on frame structure given in 3GPP TS 36.104 [10]	212
Table F.10 – Configuration of special subframe (lengths of DwPTS/GP/UpPTS)	
Table F.11 – Uplink-downlink configurations	217

IEC 62232:2017 © IEC 2017

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

DETERMINATION OF RF FIELD STRENGTH, POWER DENSITY AND SAR IN THE VICINITY OF RADIOCOMMUNICATION BASE STATIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATING HUMAN EXPOSURE

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 62232 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 106: Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields associated with human exposure.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2011 and constitutes a technical revision.

The significant changes with respect to the previous edition are the following:

- a) Increased frequency range from 110 MHz to 100 GHz (including consideration of ambient sources 100 kHz to 300 GHz);
- b) product compliance determination of compliance boundary information for an RBS product before it is placed on the market;
- c) product installation compliance determination of the total RF exposure levels before the product is put into service;

IEC 62232:2017 © IEC 2017

- 13 -

d) simplified document structure and methods of assessment for new technologies such as LTE-TDD, FDD and WiFi.

This publication contains attached files in the form of a CD-ROM for the paper version and embedded files for the electronic version. These files are intended to be used as a complement and do not form an integral part of the standard.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
106/397/FDIS	106/406/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this International Standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This document has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- · replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

- 14 -

IEC 62232:2017 © IEC 2017

INTRODUCTION

This document addresses the evaluation of radiofrequency (RF) field strength, power density or specific absorption rate (SAR) levels in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations (RBS), also called product or Equipment Under Test (EUT), intentionally radiating in the frequency range 110 MHz to 100 GHz according to the scope (see Clause 1). It does not address the evaluation of current density which exposure guidelines often do not consider to be relevant when evaluating RF fields in the intended RBS operating frequency range.

This document specifies the RF exposure evaluation methods to be used for product compliance, product installation compliance and in-situ RF exposure assessments. It does not define human exposure limits, also called "exposure limits". When implementing RF exposure assessments, the surveyor refers to the set of exposure limits applicable where exposure takes place.

Clause 2, Clause 3 and Clause 4 address normative references, terms and definitions, and symbols and abbreviated terms, respectively.

Clause 5 provides a quick start guide and details how to use this document.

Clause 6 describes the three main application areas of this document: RF exposure evaluation methods for product compliance, product installation compliance, and in-situ RF exposure assessments. Further details are provided in Annex C.

Clause 7 provides guidelines on how to select the evaluation method. Further details are provided in Annex A.

Clause 8 defines the RF exposure evaluation methods to be used and refers to further details in Annexes B and F.

Clause 9 addresses the estimation of uncertainty and refers to Annex E for further details.

Clause 10 describes reporting requirements for the evaluation or assessment.

Annexes and the bibliography are referenced extensively to provide useful clarifications or guidance.

Additional guidance can be found in IEC TR 62669 which includes a set of worked case studies giving practical examples of the application of this document.

IEC 62232:2017 © IEC 2017

-15-

DETERMINATION OF RF FIELD STRENGTH, POWER DENSITY AND SAR IN THE VICINITY OF RADIOCOMMUNICATION BASE STATIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATING HUMAN EXPOSURE

1 Scope

This document provides methods for the determination of radio-frequency (RF) field strength and specific absorption rate (SAR) in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations (RBS) for the purpose of evaluating human exposure.

This document:

- a) considers intentionally radiating RBS which transmit on one or more antennas using one or more frequencies in the range 110 MHz to 100 GHz;
- b) considers the impact of ambient sources on RF exposure at least in the 100 kHz to 300 GHz frequency range;
- c) specifies the methods to be used for RF exposure evaluation for compliance assessment applications, namely:
 - 1) product compliance determination of compliance boundary information for an RBS product before it is placed on the market;
 - 2) product installation compliance determination of the total RF exposure levels in accessible areas from an RBS product and other relevant sources before the product is put into service;
 - 3) in-situ RF exposure assessment measurement of in-situ RF exposure levels in the vicinity of an RBS installation after the product has been taken into operation;
- d) describes several RF field strength and *SAR* measurement and computation methodologies with guidance on their applicability to address both the in-situ evaluation of installed RBS and laboratory-based evaluations;
- e) describes how surveyors, with a sufficient level of expertise, establish their specific evaluation procedures appropriate for their evaluation purpose;
- f) provides guidance on how to report, interpret and compare results from different evaluation methodologies and, where the evaluation purpose requires it, determine a justified decision against a limit value;
- g) provides short descriptions of the informative example case studies given in the companion Technical Report IEC TR 62669 [1].

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)

IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)

– 16 –

IEC 62232:2017 © IEC 2017

IEC 62479, Assessment of the compliance of low power electronic and electrical apparatus with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (10 MHz - 300 GHz)

IEC 62311, Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (0 $Hz-300\ GHz$)

koniec náhľadu – text ďalej pokračuje v platenej verzii STN