TNI	Kozmická technika Príručka pre tepelnotechnický návrh Časť 7: Izolácie	TNI CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-07
		31 0540

Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 7: Insulations

Táto technická normalizačná informácia obsahuje anglickú verziu CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-07:2021. This Technical standard information includes the English version of CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-07:2021.

Táto technická normalizačná informácia bola oznámená vo Vestníku ÚNMS SR č. 12/21

#### 134171

Úrad pre normalizáciu, metrológiu a skúšobníctvo Slovenskej republiky, 2022 Slovenská technická norma a technická normalizačná informácia je chránená zákonom č. 60/2018 Z. z. o technickej normalizácii.

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-**

# **TECHNICAL REPORT RAPPORT TECHNIQUE TECHNISCHER BERICHT**

August 2021

ICS 49.140

**English version** 

07

## Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 7: Insulations

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique -Partie 7 : Isolations

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design -Teil 7: Isolationen

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 21 June 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:** Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2021 CEN/CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members and for **CENELEC** Members.

TNI CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-07: 2022

#### CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-07:2021 (E)

# **Table of contents**

Europ	ean For	eword	14
1 Scop	)e		15
2 Refe	rences		16
3 Term	ns, defir	nitions and symbols	17
3.1	Terms	and definitions	17
3.2	Abbrev	iated terms	17
3.3	Symbo	ls	17
4 Foan	ns		22
4.1	Genera	al	22
4.2	Inorgar	nic foams	25
4.3	Organi	c foams	31
	4.3.2	Thermal properties of organic foams	32
	4.3.3	Mechanical properties of organic foams	35
	4.3.4	Data on commercially available foams	53
5 Fibro	ous insi	ulations	64
<b>5 Fibro</b> 5.1		ulations	
	Genera		64
5.1	Genera Bulks	al	64 66
5.1 5.2	Genera Bulks Blanke	al	64 66 77
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers	al ts and felts	64 66 77 102
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers	al ts and felts	64 66 77 102 <b>108</b>
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 6 Multi	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers ilayer ir Genera	al ts and felts	
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 6 Multi	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers ilayer ir Genera	alts and felts	
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 6 Multi	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers ilayer in Genera 6.1.1	al Fundamental concepts concerning MLI performance	
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 6 Multi	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers ilayer ir Genera 6.1.1 6.1.2	al <b>nsulations</b> al. Fundamental concepts concerning MLI performance Failure modes	
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 6 Multi	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers <b>ilayer ir</b> Genera 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4	alts and felts <b>nsulations</b> al. Fundamental concepts concerning MLI performance Failure modes Heat transfer through an MLI	
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 <b>6 Multi</b> 6.1	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers <b>ilayer ir</b> Genera 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4	alts and felts <b>nsulations</b> al. Fundamental concepts concerning MLI performance Failure modes Heat transfer through an MLI Cost	
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 <b>6 Multi</b> 6.1	Genera Bulks Blanke Papers ilayer in Genera 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 Radiati	alts and felts <b>nsulations</b> al. Fundamental concepts concerning MLI performance Failure modes Heat transfer through an MLI Cost on shields	

	6.2.4	Operating temperature ranges	119
	6.2.5	Normally used plastic films	
6.3	Emittan	ce of metallic foils	
6.4	Emittan	ce of metallized films	135
6.5	Absorpt	ance of metallic foils	148
6.6	Radiatic	on shields. miscellaneous properties	
6.7	Radiatic	on shields. measurement of the coating thickness	
6.8	Spacers	·	
	6.8.1	Multiple-resistance spacers	
	6.8.2	Point-contact spacers	
	6.8.3	Superfloc	
	6.8.4	Single-component MLI	
	6.8.5	Composite spacers	
	6.8.6	One-dimensional heat flow through an mli with absorbing and scattering spacers	
6.9	Spacers	. miscellaneous properties	
6.10	Comple	te systems	
6.11	Normal	heat transfer	
6.12	Lateral I	heat transfer	251
6.13	Effect of	f singularities	
	6.13.1	Joints	
	6.13.2	Stitches and patches	
6.14	Effect of	f evacuating holes	
6.15	Effect of	f mechanical damage	
6.16	Effect of	f inner gas pressure	277
6.17	Evacuat	ion	
	6.17.1	Interstitial pressure during rapid evacuation	
	6.17.2	Interstitial pressure in outgas controlled situations	
	6.17.3	Self-pumping multilayer insulations	
Bibliog	raphy		319

## Figures

Figure 4-1:	Resin thermal conductivity	23
Figure 4-2 :	Gas thermal conductivity	23
Figure 4-3:	Radiation thermal conductivity	24
	Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of several ceramic foams as a function of arithmetic mean temperature, $T_m$	26

Figure 4-5:	Linear thermal expansion, $\Delta L/L$ , of several ceramic foams as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>	7
Figure 4-6:	Temperature evolution of the hot and cold faces of several pieces of Zircon foam. Solid line: $T_H$ , hot face. Dashed line: $T_C$ , cold face	3
-	Thermal conductivity $k$ , of polyurethane foams vs. arithmetic mean temperature, $T_m$	2
Figure 4-8:	Thermal conductivity, k, of cryopumped polystyrene foams	3
•	Thermal conductivity, $k$ , vs. arithmetic mean temperature, $T_m$ , of a polyurethane foam in the proximity of the condensation temperature of the filling gas	1
Figure 4-10	): Linear thermal expansion, ∠L/L, of several organic foams as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>	5
Figure 4-11	: Ultimate tensile strength, of several foams as a function of temperature, T36	3
Figure 4-12	2: Ultimate shear strength, $\tau_{ult}$ , of several foams as a function of temperature, T	7
Figure 4-13	B: Tensile stress, $\sigma$ , vs. strain, $\delta$ , for several polyurethane foams at 76, 195 and 300 K46	3
Figure 4-14	I: Modulus of Elasticity-tensile- $E$ , as a function of density, $\rho$ , for several organic foams46	3
Figure 4-15	5: Ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_{ult}$ , as a function of density, $\rho$ , for several organic foams	7
Figure 4-16	5: Compressive stress, s, vs, strain, d, for several organic foams at 76, 195 and 300 K47	7
Figure 4-17	7: Modulus of Elasticity-tensile- $E$ , as a function of density, $\rho$ , for several organic foams48	3
Figure 4-18	3: Proportional limit-compressive- $\sigma$ , as a function of density, $\rho$ , for several organic foams49	Э
Figure 4-19	9: Ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_{ult}$ , and Modulus of Elasticity-tensile- <i>E</i> , as functions of temperature, <i>T</i>	)
Figure 4-20	): Ultimate compressive strength, $\sigma_{ult}$ , and Modulus of Elasticity- compressive- <i>E</i> , as functions of temperature, <i>T</i> 5 <sup>2</sup>	1
Figure 4-21	I: Ultimate compressive strength, $\sigma_{ult}$ , as a function of temperature, T52	2
Figure 4-22	2: Ultimate block shear strength, $\tau_{ult}$ , and Modulus of Elasticity-shear block- $E$ , as functions of temperature, $T$	3
Figure 4-23	3: Strain, $\delta$ , vs. compressive stress, $\sigma$ , of Fiberfill Structural Foams62	2
Figure 4-24	l: Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub> , and dissipation factor, <i>D</i> , vs. frequency, <i>f</i> . Stycast 109062	2
Figure 5-1:	Thermal conductivity, $k$ , vs. mean temperature, $T_m$ , for several fibrous insulations. From Glasser et al. (1967) [23]65	5
Figure 5-2:	Thermal conductivity, k, of B & W Kaowool bulk vs. mean temperature, Tm74	1
Figure 5-3:	Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of Carborundum Fiberfrax bulk and washed fibers vs. mean temperature, <i>Tm</i>	1
Figure 5-4:	Temperature differential, $T_H - T_C$ , vs. mean temperature of the hot face, $T_H$ ,75	5

Figure 5-5: Temperature differential, $T_{H}-T_{C}$ , vs. mean temperature of the hot face, $T_{H}$ ,	75
Figure 5-6: Temperature differential, $T_H - T_C$ , vs. mean temperature of the hot face, $T_H$ ,	76
Figure 5-7: Temperature differential, $T_H - T_C$ , vs. mean temperature of the hot face, $T_H$ for different values of the insulation thickness, <i>t</i> . Fiberfrax washed fiber, $\rho = 96$ kg.m <sup>-3</sup>	76
Figure 5-8: Sound absorption coefficient, $\alpha$ , as a function of frequency, <i>f</i> , for B & W Kaowool blanket 2,54x10 <sup>-2</sup> m thick	
Figure 5-9: Sound absorption coefficient, $\alpha$ , as a function of frequency, <i>f</i> , for the following Fiberfrax products:	86
Figure 5-10: Air permeability across B & W Kaowool blankets	87
Figure 5-11: Air permeability across Carborundum Fiberfrax blankets	88
Figure 5-12: Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of B & Kaowool blankets vs. mean temperature, $T_m$	88
Figure 5-13: Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of Fiberfrax blankets vs. mean temperature, $T_m$	89
Figure 5-14: Temperature differential, $T_H - T_C$ , vs. temperature of the hot face $T_H$ for different values of the blanket thickness, <i>t</i> . Fiberfrax Lo-Con Blanket & felt, $\rho = 64 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$	89
Figure 5-15: Temperature differential, $T_H - T_C$ , vs. temperature of the hot face, $T_H$ ,	90
Figure 5-16: Sound absorption coefficient, $\alpha$ , as a function of frequency, <i>f</i> , for J-M Microlite Standard and Silicone Binder	91
Figure 5-17: Calculated specific heat, <i>c</i> , as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> , for J.M Dyna-Quartz	91
Figure 5-18: Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of J-M Micro-Quartz felt vs. mean temperature, $T_m$	93
Figure 5-19: Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of J-M Dyna-Quartz vs. mean temperature, $T_m$	93
Figure 5-20: Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of J-M Microlite "AA" and "B" vs. mean temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i>	94
Figure 5-21: Compressive stress, $\sigma$ , vs. compressive strain, $\delta$ , for J-M Dyna-Quartz	94
Figure 5-22: Linear Shrinkage, <i>LS</i> , and Total Weight Loss, <i>TWL</i> , of J-M Micro-Quartz Felt as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>	95
Figure 5-23: Calculated specific heat, <i>c</i> , as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> , for several J-M insulations	96
Figure 5-24: Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of J-M Min-K 1301 vs. mean temperature, $T_m$ . Numbers on curves indicate the density in kg.m <sup>-3</sup> .	97
Figure 5-25: Influence of ambient pressure on the variation of thermal conductivity, $k$ , of J-M Min-K 1301 vs. mean temperature, $T_m$ , for several filling gases	97
Figure 5-26: Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of J-M Min-K 1301 vs. mean temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i> , for different values of thickness, <i>t</i> .	98
Figure 5-27: Thermal conductivity, $k$ , of J-M Min-K 2000 vs. mean temperature, $T_m$	98
Figure 5-28: Influence of ambient pressure on the variation of thermal conductivity, $k$ , of J-M Min-K 2000 vs. mean temperature, $T_m$ , for several filling gases	99
Figure 5-29: Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of J-M Min-K 2000 vs. mean temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i> , for different values of thickness, <i>t</i> .	99

Figure 5-30: Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of J-M unbounded B-Fiber batt vs. mean temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i>
Figure 5-31: Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of J-M Micro-Fibers felt Type "E" vs. mean temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i>
Figure 5-32: Compressive stress, $\sigma$ , vs. compressive strain, $\delta$ , for J-M Min-K 1301. Numbers on curves indicate the density in kg.m <sup>-3</sup> 101
Figure 5-33: Compressive stress, $\sigma$ , vs. compressive strain, $\delta$ , for J-M Min-K 2000
Figure 5-34: Thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> , of B & W Kaowool, Carborundum Fiberfrax 970 paper, and Fiberfrax Hi-Fi 660 paper vs. mean temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i> 106
Figure 5-35: Temperature differential, $T_H-T_C$ , vs. temperature of the hot face, $T_H$ , for different values of the paper thickness, <i>t</i> . Fiberfrax 970 paper, $\rho = 160$ kg.m <sup>-3</sup>
Figure 5-36: Temperature differential, $T_H-T_C$ , vs. temperature of the hot face, $T_H$ , for different values of the paper thickness, <i>t</i> . Fiberfrax Hi-Fi 660 paper
Figure 5-37: Thermal reflectance, $\rho$ , of Fiberfrax 970-J paper vs. mean temperature, $T_m$
Figure 6-1: Effective thermal conductivity, <i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub> , of multilayer insulations as compared with other insulation materials. From Glaser et al. (1967) [23]109
Figure 6-2: Effective thermal conductivity, keff, of several multilayer insulation systems as a function of the characteristic temperature, T. Calculated by the compiler
Figure 6-3: Summary of data concerning hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Aluminium foils and thin sheets as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 121
Figure 6-4: Summary of data concerning hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Copper as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 122
Figure 6-5: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Copper as a function of temperature, T123
Figure 6-6: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Copper as a function of temperature, T124
Figure 6-7: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Gold vs. temperature, T
Figure 6-8: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Molybdenum vs. temperature, <i>T</i> 126
Figure 6-9: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Nickel vs. temperature, <i>T</i> 128
Figure 6-10: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of oxidized Nickel as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>
Figure 6-11: Normal total emittance, $\varepsilon'$ , of Nickel as a function of temperature, T130
Figure 6-12: Normal total emittance, $\varepsilon'$ , of Inconel as a function of temperature, T
Figure 6-13: Normal total emittance, $\varepsilon'$ , of Inconel X as a function of temperature, T132
Figure 6-14: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Platinum as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 133
Figure 6-15: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Silver as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 134
Figure 6-16: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Aluminized Mylar as a function of coating thickness, <i>t</i> <sub>c</sub>
Figure 6-17: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Copper on Mylar as a function of coating thickness, <i>t</i> <sub>c</sub>

Figure 6-18: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Goldized Mylar as a function of coating thickness, $t_c$ 138
Figure 6-19: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Gold on Double Aluminized Mylar as a function of Gold thickness, $t_c$
Figure 6-20: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Silvered Mylar as a function of coating thickness, <i>t</i> <sub>c</sub>
Figure 6-21: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Silvered Mylar overcoated with Silicon Monoxide as a function of Silver thickness, $t_c$ 141
Figure 6-22: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Aluminized Kapton as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 143
Figure 6-23: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Aluminized Kapton as a function of coating thickness, $t_c$ , for $T = 300$ K144
Figure 6-24: Hemispherical total emittance, $\varepsilon$ , of Aluminized Kapton as a function of coating thickness, $t_c$ , for $T = 400$ K145
Figure 6-25: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Silgle-Goldized Kapton as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>
Figure 6-26: Hemispherical total emittance, <i>ε</i> , of Silgle-Silvered Kapton as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>
Figure 6-27: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Aluminium as a function of wavelength, $\lambda$
Figure 6-28: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Aluminium as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>
Figure 6-29: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Copper as a function of wavelength $\lambda$ 150
Figure 6-30: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Copper as a function of temperature <i>T</i> 151
Figure 6-31: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Gold as a function of wavelength $\lambda$
Figure 6-32: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Gold as a function of temperature <i>T</i>
Figure 6-33: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Molybdenum as a function of wavelength $\lambda$
Figure 6-34: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Molybdenum as a function of temperature <i>T</i>
Figure 6-35: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Nickel as a function of wavelength $\lambda$ 156
Figure 6-36: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Nickel as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 158
Figure 6-37: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Incoel as a function of wavelength $\lambda$ 159
Figure 6-38: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Incoel as a function of the temperature, <i>T</i> , to which samples had been previously heated
Figure 6-39: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Platinum as a function of wavelength $\lambda$
Figure 6-40: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Platinum as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 162
Figure 6-41: Normal spectral absorptance, $\alpha'_{\lambda}$ , of Silver as a function of wavelength, $\lambda$ 163
Figure 6-42: Normal solar absorptance, $\alpha_s$ , of Silver as a function of temperature, <i>T</i>

Figure 6-43: Linear thermal expansion, $\Delta L/L$ , of two nominally identical specimens of 6,35x10 <sup>-6</sup> m thick Mylar Double-Goldized as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 17	2
Figure 6-44: Linear thermal expansion, $\Delta L/L$ of 6,35x10 <sup>-6</sup> - 7,62x10 <sup>-6</sup> m thick Kapton Double-Goldized, with Dacron Flocking, as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 17	3
Figure 6-45: Coating thickness, $t_c$ , given by several methods, compared with that gives by the electrical resistance method, $t_{c\Omega}$	1
Figure 6-46: Thickness, $t_{c\Omega}$ , of metallic coatings as a function of film electrical resistance, <i>R</i> . Calculated by the compiler	3
Figure 6-47: Apparent emittance, <i>ɛ</i> <sub>a</sub> , of a gray V-Groove as a function of surface emittance, <i>ɛ</i> , illustrating the effect of embossing or crinkling on the optical properties of the shield. Calculated by the compiler	6
Figure 6-48: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , of several fibrous spacers as a function of mean temperature, <i>T</i> 19	9
Figure 6-49: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , of Fiber-glass batting as a function of Nitrogen gas pressure, <i>p</i> 20	0
Figure 6-50: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , of Dexiglas as a function of warm- boundary temperature, $T_{H}$	1
Figure 6-51: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , of Tissuglas as a function of warm- boundary temperature, $T_{H}$ 20	2
Figure 6-52: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , of Refrasil as a function of warm- boundary temperature, $T_{H}$	3
Figure 6-53: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , of several spacer materials as a function of bulk density, $\rho$	4
Figure 6-54: Specific Heat, <i>c</i> , of several spacer materials as a function of temperature, <i>T</i> 20	5
Figure 6-55: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i>	1
Figure 6-56: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> 21	2
Figure 6-57: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i>	5
Figure 6-58: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	6
Figure 6-59: Heat flux, Q/A, across a single-aluminized Mylar, crinkled, MLI on different substrate plates, as a function of the number of radiation shields, $N$ . $T = 195$ K, $p = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa. $N/t = 1100 \pm 200$ m <sup>-1</sup> 21	7
Figure 6-60: Effective thermal conductivity, <i>k<sub>eff</sub></i> , of a single-aluminized Mylar, crinkled, MLI on two different substrate plates, as a function of the number of radiation shields, <i>N</i> 21	8
Figure 6-61: Heat flux, $Q/A$ , across a single-aluminized Mylar, crinkled, MLI on different substrate plates, as a function of the pressure, <i>p</i> . <i>T</i> = 195 K. Layer density, $N/t = 1100 \pm 200 \text{ m}^{-1}$ in any case	9

Figure 6-62: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ .	220
Figure 6-63: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	221
Figure 6-64: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ .	222
Figure 6-65: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> .	223
Figure 6-66: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ .	224
Figure 6-67: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> .	225
Figure 6-68: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	225
Figure 6-69: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> . Arrows in curves indicate whether the system is being loaded or unloaded.	228
Figure 6-70: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> .	229
Figure 6-71: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	229
Figure 6-72: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ .	231
Figure 6-73: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i>	232
Figure 6-74: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ .	234
Figure 6-75: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> . Complete loading-unloading history of system ( $\Delta$ )	235
Figure 6-76: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i>	236
Figure 6-77: Compressive mechanical load, $P$ , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ . Complete loading-unloading history of system ( $\Delta$ ).	236
Figure 6-78: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$ .	239
Figure 6-79: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	240

Figure 6-80: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$	243
Figure 6-81: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	244
Figure 6-82: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$	245
Figure 6-83: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i>	246
Figure 6-84: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, $N/t$	247
Figure 6-85: Compressive mechanical load, <i>P</i> , on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> 2	248
Figure 6-86: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, $\rho k_{eff}$ , vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i>	249
Figure 6-87: Compressive mechanical load, P, on the multilayer insulation vs. the number of radiation shields per unit thickness, <i>N/t</i> 2	250
Figure 6-88: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of the characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	250
Figure 6-89: Lateral effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	252
Figure 6-90: Lateral effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	253
Figure 6-91: Lateral effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	255
Figure 6-92: Lateral effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of characteristic temperature, <i>T</i>	256
Figure 6-93: Effective emittance, $\Delta \varepsilon_{eff}$ , vs. overlap, <i>I</i> 2	267
Figure 6-94: Length, <i>L</i> *, vs. overlap, <i>I</i> , of alternate layers2	267
Figure 6-95: Length, L*, vs. underlap, I2	268
Figure 6-96: Length, <i>L</i> *, vs. <i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub> . Edge rejection2	268
Figure 6-97: Heat loss, $\Delta Q$ , due to stitching vs. the length of Stitch, L2	270
Figure 6-98: Heat loss, $\Delta Q$ , due to stitch patching vs. the number of patch layers, <i>N</i> . Undisturbed system and test method as in Figure 6-972	271
Figure 6-99: Effect of perforations on the effective thermal conductivity of a multilayer insulation formed by 24 Radiation Shields 6,35x10 <sup>-6</sup> m thick Mylar Double-Aluminized, and 23 Spacers 7,11x10 <sup>-4</sup> m thick Polyurethane Foam2	272
Figure 6-100: Effect of perforations on the effective thermal conductivity of a multilayer insulation formed by 10 Radiation Shields 6,35x10 <sup>-6</sup> m thick by 0,279 m diameter Mylar Double-Aluminized, and 22 Spacers 2,54x10 <sup>-5</sup> m thick by 0,305 m diameter Glass Fabric.	273

Figure 6-101: Effect of percentage of perforations, <i>τ</i> , on the heat flux through a multilayer insulation274
Figure 6-102: Effect of Meteoroid-Bumper debris damage on the effective thermal conductivity, <i>k<sub>eff</sub></i> , and product of apparent density and effective thermal conductivity, <i>ρk<sub>eff</sub></i>
Figure 6-103: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of gas pressure, <i>p</i> 278
Figure 6-104: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of gas pressure, <i>p</i> 280
Figure 6-105: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of gas pressure, <i>p</i> 281
Figure 6-106: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of gas pressure, <i>p</i> 283
Figure 6-107: Effective thermal conductivity, $k_{eff}$ , as a function of gas pressure, <i>p</i> 284
Figure 6-108: Permeability, $\kappa$ , of several multilayer insulation configurations as a function of the layer density, $N/t$
Figure 6-109: Pressure differential, $p(0,t)-p_o(t)$ . Vs. time, t
Figure 6-110: Knudsen Diffusion Coefficient, $D_K$ , as a function of gas molecular mass, <i>M</i> . From Coston (1967) [13], p. 4,3-31
Figure 6-111: Outgassing rate, Q, as a function of pumping time, <i>t</i> , for Mylar Double- Aluminized. Effect of preconditioning. From Glassford (1970) [24]293
Figure 6-112: Outgassing rate, <i>Q</i> , as a function of pumping time, <i>t</i> , for Mylar Double- Aluminized. Effect of prepumping. From Glassford (1970) [24]294
Figure 6-113: Outgassing rate, <i>Q</i> , as a function of pumping time, <i>t</i> , for Mylar Double- Aluminized, as received. Effect of test temperature
Figure 6-114: Outgassing rate, <i>Q</i> , as a function of pumping time, <i>t</i> , for several shielding materials, as received297
Figure 6-115: Outgassing rate, <i>Q</i> , as a function of pumping time, <i>t</i> , for several spacing materials
Figure 6-116: Outgassing rate, <i>Q</i> , as a function of pumping time, <i>t</i> , for an MLI system and for its components. No preconditioning. From Glassford (1970) [24]299
Figure 6-117: Main characteristics of the different self-evacuated MLIs and experimental methods used to obtain the results summarized in Table 6-25303
Figure 6-118: Model for a guarded two-dimensional MLI. (a) Geometry. (b) Boundary conditions for the calculations
Figure 6-119: Ratio of lateral to total heat transfer rate, $Q_x/(Q_x+Q_y)$ , in an anisotropic two-dimensional continuous medium subject to the boundary conditions indicated in Figure 6-118:
Tables
Table 4-1: Relevant Properties of Ceramic Foams 25
Table 4-2: Properties of Ceramic Foams 29
Table 4-3: Characterization of Foams Whose Properties Will Be Given Later
Table 4-4: Average Tensile Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams      39
Table 4-5: Average Tensile Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams at T = 76 KT: Transverse; L: Longitudinal

Table 4-6: Average Tensile Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams at T = 195 K      T: Transverse; L: Longitudinal	41
Table 4-7: Average Tensile Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams at T = 300KT: Transverse; L: Longitudinal	42
Table 4-8: Average Compressive Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams	42
Table 4-9: Average Compressive Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams at <i>T</i> = 76 K T: Transverse; L: Longitudinal	43
Table 4-10: Average Compressive Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams at T= 195 K T: Transverse; L: Longitudinal	44
Table 4-11: Average Compressive Data of Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foams at T =300 K T: Transverse; L: Longitudinal	45
Table 4-12: Information Concerning Processing	60
Table 4-13: Information Concerning Processing of Fiberfil Products Recommendations        for Molding	63
Table 5-1: Available Densities, $\rho$ , and Thicknesses, <i>t</i> , of B & W Kaowool Blanket. X denotes available.	90
Table 5-2: Available Thicknesses, <i>t</i> , and Lengths, <i>L</i> , of Fiberfrax Lo-Con Blanket and Felt for densities of64 kg.m <sup>-3</sup> and 96 kg.m <sup>-3</sup> and roll widths 0,3 m, 0,61 m, and 1,22 m.	91
Table 5-3: Thermal Conductivity, <i>k</i> , [W.m <sup>-1</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup> ], of J-M Thermoflex Felt for different values of Density, $\rho$ , [kg.m <sup>-3</sup> ], and Mean Temperature, <i>T<sub>m</sub></i> , [K]	92
Table 5-4: Available Densities, $\rho$ , [kg.m <sup>-3</sup> ], and Thicknesses, <i>t</i> x10 <sup>3</sup> [m], of J-M Thermoflex.	95
Table 5-5: Available Densities, $\rho$ , and Thicknesses, $t$ , of J-M Micro-Quartz	96
Table 5-6: Available Forms of J-M Min-K 1301	102
Table 5-7: Available Forms of J-M Min-K 2000	102
Table 5-8: Available Thicknesses of B&W Kaowool Paper	104
Table 5-9: Available Thicknesses of Fiberfrax 970 Paper	105
Table 5-10: Available Roll Sizes of Fiberfrax 970 Paper	105
Table 5-11: Available Thicknesses and Corresponding Mass/Area of Fiberfrax Paper H880; Hi-Fi 660, and 550.	105
Table 6-1: Properties of Metallic Foils	166
Table 6-2: Properties of Polymeric Films	168
Table 6-3: Properties of Several Marketed Polycarbonate Resins	169
Table 6-4: Tensile Strength of Mylar and Kapton H for Different. Values of the      Temperature.	170
Table 6-5: Properties of Coated Plastic Films	170
Table 6-6: Flammability of Several Radiation Shields in Oxygen and in Air	174
Table 6-7: Outgassing Characteristics of Non-Metallized and Metallized Plastic Films	175
Table 6-8: Procurement Data	177
Table 6-9: $\rho$ and $3\lambda \rho/8$ for Aluminium, Copper, Gold and Silver	182

Table 6-10: Absorption and Scattering Coefficients for Spacer Materials1	188
Table 6-11: Properties of Staple Fibers Data is available for the following Staple Fibers 1	190
Table 6-12: Properties of Staple Fibers      1	191
Table 6-13: Properties of Staple Fibers      1	192
Table 6-14: Effect of Heat on Several Fibers      1	193
Table 6-15: Moisture Regain of Several Fibers1	193
Table 6-16: Effect of Exposure Temperature, Pressure and Time on the Strength ofFabrics, $T = 450$ K1	194
Table 6-17: Fiber Strength after 10 min Exposure to Temperature1	195
Table 6-18: Effect of Cycling vs. Continuous Exposure to Temperature of Two Fibers in      Woven Forms	196
Table 6-19: Thermal Properties of Fabrics Woven of Several Fibers      1	197
Table 6-20: Flammability per Vertical Flame Tests	206
Table 6-21: Flammability of Several Spacers in Oxygen and in Air	206
Table 6-22: Outgassing Characteristics of Several Spacers      2	207
Table 6-23: Thermal Effects of Joints2	259
Table 6-24: Characteristics of Self-Pumping MLIs the performances of which are given in Table 6-25	302
Table 6-25: Characteristics of Several Self-Pumping Multilayer Insulations	304
Table 6-26: Summary of the Best and Worst Cases Reached by the Different      Investigators	314

# **European Foreword**

This document (CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-07:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16603-31.

This Technical report (TR 17603-31-07:2021) originates from ECSS-E-HB-31-01 Part 7A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

# 1 Scope

There are 3 main categories of insulators used in spacecrafts:

- 1. foams: organic and inorganic;
- 2. fibrous insulations: for internal and external insulation and for high temperature environments
- 3. multilayer insulations (MLI): layers of radiation reflecting shields.

Properties, thermal behaviour and application areas of the insulation materials used in spacecrafts are detailed in this Part 7.

The Thermal design handbook is published in 16 Parts

TR 17603-31-01	Thermal design handbook – Part 1: View factors		
TR 17603-31-02	Thermal design handbook – Part 2: Holes, Grooves and Cavities		
TR 17603-31-03	Thermal design handbook – Part 3: Spacecraft Surface Temperature		
TR 17603-31-04	Thermal design handbook – Part 4: Conductive Heat Transfer		
TR 17603-31-05	Thermal design handbook – Part 5: Structural Materials: Metallic and Composite		
TR 17603-31-06	Thermal design handbook – Part 6: Thermal Control Surfaces		
TR 17603-31-07	Thermal design handbook – Part 7: Insulations		
TR 17603-31-08	Thermal design handbook – Part 8: Heat Pipes		
TR 17603-31-09	Thermal design handbook – Part 9: Radiators		
TR 17603-31-10	Thermal design handbook – Part 10: Phase – Change Capacitors		
TR 17603-31-11	Thermal design handbook – Part 11: Electrical Heating		
TR 17603-31-12	Thermal design handbook – Part 12: Louvers		
TR 17603-31-13	Thermal design handbook – Part 13: Fluid Loops		
TR 17603-31-14	Thermal design handbook – Part 14: Cryogenic Cooling		
TR 17603-31-15	Thermal design handbook – Part 15: Existing Satellites		
TR 17603-31-16	Thermal design handbook – Part 16: Thermal Protection System		

# 2 References

EN Reference	Reference in text	Title
EN 16601-00-01	ECSS-S-ST-00-01	ECSS System - Glossary of terms

All other references made to publications in this Part are listed, alphabetically, in the **Bibliography**.

## koniec náhľadu – text ďalej pokračuje v platenej verzii STN