

STN	Identifikácia únikov oleja/ropy Ropné výrobky a príbuzné ropné výrobky Časť 2: Analytická metóda a interpretácia výsledkov založených na GC-FID a GC-MS analýze s nízkym rozlíšením	STN EN 15522-2 83 8290
------------	--	--

Oil spill identification - Petroleum and petroleum related products - Part 2: Analytical method and interpretation of results based on GC-FID and GC-low resolution-MS analyses

Táto norma obsahuje anglickú verziu európskej normy.
This standard includes the English version of the European Standard.

Táto norma bola oznámená vo Vestníku ÚNMS SR č. 06/23

Obsahuje: EN 15522-2:2023

Oznámením tejto normy sa ruší
TNI CEN/TR 15522-2 (83 8290) z augusta 2013

EUROPEAN STANDARD

EN 15522-2

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

March 2023

ICS 13.020.40; 75.080

Supersedes CEN/TR 15522-2:2012

English Version

Oil spill identification - Petroleum and petroleum related products - Part 2: Analytical method and interpretation of results based on GC-FID and GC-low resolution-MS analyses

Identification des pollutions pétrolières - Pétrole et produits pétroliers - Partie 2 : Méthode d'analyse et interprétation des résultats sur la base des analyses par CPG DIF et CPG-SM faible résolution

Identifizierung von Ölverschmutzungen - Rohöl und Mineralölerzeugnisse aus dem Wasser - Teil 2: Analytische Methodik und Interpretation der Ergebnisse, basierend auf GC-FID- und GC-MS-Analysen bei niedriger Auflösung

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 25 December 2022.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

EN 15522-2:2023 (E)

Contents	Page
European foreword	8
Introduction	10
1 Scope.....	12
2 Normative references.....	12
3 Terms and definitions	13
3.1 General.....	13
3.2 Sample comparison.....	15
3.3 Abbreviations.....	15
4 Strategy for the identification of oil spill sources	16
4.1 General.....	16
4.2 Basis for reliable conclusions – Numerical comparisons.....	17
5 General lab instructions	18
5.1 Sampling and sample preparation	18
5.2 GC-FID and GC-MS analysis	18
5.3 Conclusions and reporting	20
6 Sample preparation	20
6.1 General.....	20
6.2 Visual examination and description of samples.....	20
6.3 Preparation.....	21
6.3.1 Sample storage.....	21
6.3.2 Water samples	21
6.3.3 Oil samples from an Ethylene-tetrafluorethylene (ETFE) net.....	22
6.3.4 Thick oil and emulsified oil samples	22
6.3.5 Tar balls and emulsified lumps	22
6.3.6 Samples from oiled birds, fish and other animals and vegetation.....	23
6.3.7 Sediment	23
6.4 Sample clean-up	23
6.4.1 General.....	23
6.4.2 Particle removal.....	23
6.4.3 Asphaltenes precipitation	24
6.4.4 Alumina column clean-up of biogenic materials	24
6.4.5 Silica or Florisil® column clean-up.....	25
6.5 Recommended injection concentration	26
7 Characterization and evaluation of analytical data	27
7.1 General.....	27
7.2 Characterization by GC-FID – Level 1.....	28
7.2.1 General.....	28
7.2.2 Evaluation of the influence of weathering on sample comparison.....	28
7.2.3 Acyclic isoprenoids ratios – Level 1.2.....	31
7.2.4 Level 1 criteria	32
7.2.5 Level 1 conclusions	32
7.3 Characterization by GC-MS – Level 2.....	32
7.3.1 General.....	32

7.3.2	Visual inspection and overall characterization - Level 2.1.....	32
7.3.3	Treatment of the GC-MS results – Level 2.2.....	33
7.4	Treatment of the results using the MS-PW-plot- Level 2.2	33
7.4.1	General	33
7.4.2	PW-plot calculations.....	34
7.4.3	Evaluation of the variability of the analysis and peak integration.....	34
7.4.4	Evaluation of weathering.....	36
7.5	Treatment of the results using diagnostic ratios – Level 2.2	37
7.5.1	General	37
7.5.2	Diagnostic ratios calculation	38
7.5.3	Normative diagnostic ratios	38
7.5.4	Analytical error	42
7.5.5	Match-criterion for ratios.....	43
7.5.6	Criteria for selecting, eliminating and evaluating diagnostic ratios.....	44
7.6	Conclusions	48
8	Reporting.....	49
8.1	General	49
8.2	Internal documentation – technical report.....	50
8.3	Identification report – summary report.....	51
9	Quality assurance	51
Annex A	(normative) GC-FID analysis	53
A.1	General	53
A.2	Analytical standards for GC-FID analyses.....	53
A.2.1	N-alkanes.....	53
A.2.2	Injection concentration of the standard GC-FID.....	54
A.2.3	Storage of frequently used standard solutions.....	54
A.3	Suggested instrumental conditions	54
A.4	Measures to improve and verify the accuracy of the method – GC-FID.....	55
A.4.1	Mass discrimination	55
A.4.2	Column resolution.....	56
A.4.3	Linearity.....	58
A.4.4	Mid-level concentration	58
A.4.5	Variance	59
A.4.6	GC-FID sequence.....	59
Annex B	(normative) GC-MS analysis	60
B.1	General	60
B.2	Analytical standards for GC-MS analyses.....	60
B.2.1	General	60
B.2.2	Crude oil to be used around each sequence.....	61
B.2.3	Oil mixture	61
B.2.4	Analytical standards for PAH homologues	61
B.2.5	FAMES.....	62
B.2.6	Storage of frequently used standard solutions.....	62

EN 15522-2:2023 (E)

B.3	Suggested instrumental conditions.....	62
B.3.1	GC conditions for the exchange of analytical results	62
B.3.2	GC-MS conditions for full-scan analysis.....	64
B.3.3	MS preparation for selected ion monitoring (SIM) analysis	65
B.4	Measures to improve and verify the accuracy of the GC-MS method	66
B.4.1	Relative retention time.....	66
B.4.2	Mass discrimination.....	66
B.4.3	Peak symmetry and column resolution.....	66
B.4.4	Patterns.....	67
B.4.5	Linearity.....	67
B.4.6	Mid-level concentration	67
B.4.7	Variance.....	68
B.4.8	Sample analysis with GC-MS.....	68
	Annex C (informative) Precision statement	69
C.1	General.....	69
C.2	Precision of the MS-PW-plot	69
C.3	Precision of the ratio comparison	70
C.4	Reproducibility.....	71
C.5	The effect of the ratio type on the RSD.....	72
C.6	Example of a paired ratio calculation in Excel®.....	73
C.7	Calculation of the evaporation line for the MS-PW-plot in Excel®.....	74
	Annex D (normative) Evaluative reporting using match definitions or likelihood ratios	77
D.1	General.....	77
D.2	Match definitions	77
D.3	Likelihood ratios (LR)	78
	Annex E (normative) List of compounds and compound groups analysed by GC-MS-SIM	80
E.1	General.....	80
E.2	Compounds.....	81
E.2.1	General.....	81
E.2.2	Compound type.....	86
E.3	Normative ratios and informative ratios.	87
	Annex F (informative) Chromatograms and ratios of compounds and compound groups analysed by GC-MS-SIM.....	91
F.1	General.....	91
F.2	Alkanes	91
F.3	Cyclohexanes and polycyclic alkanes	92

F.4	Mono-aromatic and poly-aromatic compounds	98
F.4.1	Alkyl-benzenes and alkyl-toluenes	98
F.4.2	PAHs, alkyl-PAHs and S-PAHs	98
F.4.3	Tri-aromatic steranes	109
F.5	FAMEs.....	110
Annex G (informative)	General composition of oils – chemical groups	114
G.1	General	114
G.2	Hydrocarbons	115
G.3	Aliphatic compounds.....	115
G.3.1	General	115
G.3.2	Paraffins.....	115
G.3.3	Naphthenes	115
G.4	Aromatic compounds	116
G.5	Heteroatomic organic compounds	116
G.5.1	General	116
G.5.2	Resins.....	116
G.5.3	Asphaltenes	116
Annex H (informative)	Weathering of oils spilled on water and land.....	118
H.1	General	118
H.2	Weathering processes.....	118
H.2.1	Weathering of oils spilled on water	118
H.2.2	Weathering of waterborne oils stranded on land or land based oil spills.....	120
H.2.3	Mixing and contamination.....	120
H.2.4	Dispersion	121
H.2.5	In-situ burning.....	123
H.3	Evaluation of weathering processes.....	124
H.3.1	Evaporation	124
H.3.2	Dissolution	127
H.3.3	Photo-oxidation.....	129
H.3.4	Biodegradation	135
H.3.5	Wax redistribution.....	138
H.3.6	Mixing	144
H.3.7	Contamination	146
H.3.8	In-situ burning.....	146
Annex I (informative)	Characteristic features of different oil types in oil spill identification.....	149
I.1	General	149

EN 15522-2:2023 (E)

I.2	Crude oil	149
I.2.1	General	149
I.2.2	Analysis	150
I.3	Light fuel oil (gas oil, diesel, fuel No 2, biofuels, GTL)	156
I.3.1	General	156
I.3.2	Analysis, GC screening	157
I.3.3	GC-MS analysis	159
I.3.4	Biofuels	162
I.3.5	Gas to liquid products	163
I.4	Lubricating oil	164
I.4.1	General	164
I.4.2	Analysis	166
I.5	Heavy fuel oil (HFO, Bunker C, Fuel No 6) and low sulfur fuel oil	170
I.5.1	General	170
I.5.2	Analysis	171
I.6	Waste oil (bilge oil, sludge, slops)	179
I.6.1	General	179
I.6.2	Analysis	180
I.7	Conclusion	184
Annex J (informative) Example of external documentation – identification report of an oil spill case		186
J.1	General	186
J.2	Sample information	186
J.3	Analytical procedure	186
J.3.1	Method	186
J.3.2	Dilution/extraction	186
J.3.3	Analyses	186
J.4	Results	186
J.5	Interpretation	186
J.5.1	General	186
J.5.2	Positive match	187
J.5.3	Probable match	187
J.5.4	Inconclusive	187
J.5.5	Non-match	187
J.6	Conclusions	187
Annex K (informative) Example of internal documentation – technical report of an oil spill case		189

K.1	General	189
K.2	Sample information	189
K.2.1	Samples	189
K.2.2	Contact information	189
K.2.3	Request	189
K.2.4	Photo(s) of the samples	190
K.3	Sample preparation and analyses	190
K.4	Quality assurance	192
K.5	GC-FID results – Level 1	194
K.5.1	GC-FID chromatograms – Level 1.1	194
K.5.2	GC-FID numerical comparisons – Level 1.2	196
K.5.3	GC-FID conclusions	201
K.6	GC-MS results – Level 2	202
K.6.1	General	202
K.6.2	GC-MS chromatograms – Level 2.1	202
K.6.3	GC-MS numerical comparisons – Level 2.2	203
K.6.4	Visual inspection	211
K.6.5	Overall conclusions	212
	Bibliography	213

EN 15522-2:2023 (E)**European foreword**

This document (EN 15522-2:2023) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 19 “Gaseous and liquid fuels, lubricants and related products of petroleum, synthetic and biological origin”, the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by September 2023, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by September 2023.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document will supersede CEN/TR 15522-2:2012.

In comparison with the previous edition CEN/TR 15522-2:2012, the following technical modifications have been made:

- adding compounds to be analysed in order to include light products in the diesel range;
- adding more information about biodegradation;
- adding a Reporting and a Quality assurance chapter;
- adding Annex C with precision data;
- adding Annex D with likelihood grade conclusions;
- introduction of characterization of FAME in Annex I;
- serious revision of Annexes H, I, J and K, adding new pictures and chromatograms.

EN 15522 is composed of two parts that describe the following:

- Part 1 on sampling, describing good sampling practice, detailing sampling equipment, sampling techniques and the handling of oil samples prior to their arrival at the forensic laboratory;
- Part 2 giving the analytical method, which covers the general concepts and laboratory procedures of oil spill identification, analytical techniques, data processing, data treatment, interpretation/evaluation and reporting of results.

This document has originally been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

A list of all parts in a series can be found on the CEN website.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

EN 15522-2:2023 (E)

Introduction

This document describes a forensic method for characterizing and identifying the source of oil spills in the environment resulting from accidents or intentional discharges. By following this method, data generated can be used as evidence in support of the legal process. This method is based on the experience gained from use of the earlier revisions.

This document is composed of two parts that describe the following:

- Part 1 on sampling, describing good sampling practice, detailing sampling equipment, sampling techniques and the handling of oil samples prior to their arrival at the forensic laboratory;
- Part 2 giving the analytical method, which covers the general concepts and laboratory procedures of oil spill identification, analytical techniques, data processing, data treatment, interpretation/evaluation and reporting of results.

Oil spill source identification is a complex process due to the large variation in sample types and oil spill situations that can be encountered. Part 1 is a compilation of instructions and experiences from experts all over the world which will guide the user in sampling, storing and delivering oil samples for laboratory analysis. Part 2 will guide the reader through the analytical process. It prescribes how to prepare and analyse oil samples using Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionization Detection (GC-FID) and GC with low-resolution Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). Any compositional difference found between samples is only relevant if this difference is larger than the variability of the method itself. Good analytical performance and strict quality assurance are therefore essential. In the Annexes of Part 2, relevant information concerning different types of oil and oil comparison techniques are presented.

In a typical standard method for testing, instructions are given on performing an “analytical” procedure. However, oil spill identification comprises both analytical and assessment components. Sample preparation is described in Chapter 6. Analytical methodology for GC-FID is provided in Annex A, while GC-MS is covered in Annexes B, E and F. Other parts of the document describe how to assess the analytical data utilizing various tools to draw a conclusion. As every case can differ in situation, size, products and weathering, the evaluation part of the method is described as a toolbox. Annexes J and K provide example documents for an oil spill case and show how the assessment tools may be applied. Further examples of specific oil spill cases are available as summary reports of the annual round robins (RR-tests) organized by Bonn-OSINet [11] and in literature.

The purpose of this document is to assist the reader in defensibly identifying the source of an oil spill by comparing the chemical composition of spill samples against suspected source oils. The basis for this method is the widely variable chemical compositions of oils, which allows oils from different sources to be distinguished by analytical techniques. The method relies upon detailed chemical characterization and statistical comparison between samples (i.e. a spilled oil and a suspected source) diagnostic features in order to determine whether they “match”. To minimize the danger of “false positive matches”, good laboratory practices are to be maintained. A “positive match” between a spilled oil and suspected source sample may not be sufficient to identify the PRP (potential responsible party) on its own. However, this result can be critical evidence in proving a case within the legal process.

It should be noted that oil spill identification methodologies have limitations and may not necessarily lead to unequivocal conclusions. In certain cases, neither the oil spill nor suspected source(s) are unique or homogeneous in nature, e.g. due to the changing/variable nature of oil in bilge tanks or due to mixing of oils spilled from several sources. For such cases there is a risk that the chemical composition of the available spill and source samples may not match. Therefore, successfully identifying a spilled oil’s source depends upon the samples available for analysis. To minimize the danger for “false positive” and in particular “false non-matches”, good sampling practice is essential (as described in Part 1: Sampling).

When no source oil is available, the methodology within this document can be used to determine the spilled oil type and selected characteristics. The characterization of a spilled oil sample can still be useful for several reasons:

- Rapid identification of a spilled oil type can help authorities track down potential sources of a “mystery” spill before the culprit has left the area (e.g. ship) or removed additional evidence. The mere differentiation between unused refined petroleum products (e.g. diesel fuel, heavy fuel oil), crude oil or waste oil (e.g. bilge residues, sludge, slops) can provide potentially valuable information as the possible source(s);
- In some court trials, the differentiation between pure refined products and waste oil may be very important because it allows conclusions to be drawn regarding the cause of an oil discharge, e.g. technical failure, accidental discharge, intentional discharge;
- In some countries, aerial photography of a plume behind a ship, combined with evidence the plume contained mineral oil, has been enough for a conviction;
- Finally, characterisation of the spilled oil provides a baseline against which future impacts to the affected area/environment might be compared.

This document is the result of advancements in the field of oil spill identification [e.g. 22, 36, 66, 67, 78 and 85] that have been made since the Nordtest Method [54] was first introduced in 1991. These have included:

- advancements in analytical methodologies;
- improved understanding of the specific chemical compositions and diagnostic features of oils;
- improved understanding of how an oil’s composition changes in the environment (e.g. due to weathering);
- improvements in the statistical and numerical analysis of chemical data.

These advancements have been made by researchers around the world and documented in a wide range of peer-reviewed literature. In addition, numerous Proficiency Testing Programs (PTPs. or round robin tests (RR)) have been conducted to evaluate and improve upon the methodology. Since 2004, in the framework of Bonn-OSINET (Bonn Agreement oil spill identification network of experts), annual interlaboratory studies have been organized jointly by RWS-lab (Rijkswaterstaat - Laboratory in the Netherlands) and BSH (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie in Germany) in which laboratories from around the world participate. The studies have covered oil spill cases dealing with light fuel oil distillates (diesel oils), bilge water samples (a mixture of water, gas oils and lubricating oil), crude oils and heavy fuel oils. Findings from these studies have been discussed at annual meetings by the participating analysts and have been taken into account for refining the suggested methodology described herein. The final reports of the interlaboratory studies can be downloaded for free from the Bonn-OSINET part of the Bonn-Agreement website [11].

EN 15522-2:2023 (E)

1 Scope

This document specifies a method to identify and compare the compositional characteristics of oil samples. Specifically, it describes the detailed analytical and data processing methods for identifying the characteristics of spill samples and establishing their correlation to suspected source oils. Even when samples or data from suspected sources are not available for comparison, establishing the specific nature (e.g. refined petroleum, crude oil, waste oil, etc.) of the spilled oil still helps to constrain the possible source(s).

This methodology is restricted to petroleum related products containing a significant proportion of hydrocarbon-components with a boiling point above 150 °C. Examples are: crude oils, higher boiling condensates, diesel oils, residual bunker or heavy fuel oils, lubricants, and mixtures of bilge and sludge samples, as well as distillate fuels and blends. While the specific analytical methods are perhaps not appropriate for lower boiling oils (e.g. kerosene, jet fuel, or gasoline), the general concepts described in this methodology, i.e. statistical comparison of weathering-resistant diagnostic ratios, are applicable in spills involving these kinds of oils.

Paraffin products (e.g. waxes, etc.) are outside the scope of this method because too many compounds are removed during the production process [37] to correctly distinguish them from each other. However, the method can be used to identify the type of product involved.

Although not directly intended for identifying oil recovered from groundwater, vegetation, wildlife/tissues, soil, or sediment matrices, they are not precluded. However, caution is needed as extractable compounds can be present in these matrices that alter and/or contribute additional compounds compared to the source sample. If unrecognized, the contribution from the matrix can lead to false “non-matches”. It is therefore advisable to analyse background sample(s) of the matrix that appear unoiled.

When analysing “non-oil” matrices additional sample preparation (e.g. clean-up) is often required prior to analysis and the extent to which the matrix affects the correlation achieved is to be considered. Whether the method is applicable for a specific matrix depends upon the oil concentration compared to the “matrix concentration”. In matrices containing high concentrations of oil, a positive match can still be concluded. In matrices containing lower concentrations of oil, a false “non-match” or an “inconclusive match” can result from matrix effects. Evaluation of possible matrix effects is beyond the scope of this document.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 15522-1, *Oil spill identification — Petroleum and petroleum products — Part 1: Sampling*

ISO 1998-1:1998, *Petroleum industry — Terminology — Part 1: Raw materials and products*

ISO 16703:2004, *Soil quality — Determination of content of hydrocarbon in the range C10 to C40 by gas chromatography*

koniec náhľadu – text ďalej pokračuje v platenej verzii STN